

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/593,861	<b>Applicant(s)</b> COLOMBO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1654	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE.

(2) Mr. Dorchak.

**Date of Interview:** 30 June 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*17-19*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Dorchak was contacted with a proposed examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance on 6/30/09. Mr. Dorchak agreed to the proposed amendments to claims on 17 and 19 on 7/1/09. Mr. Dorchak was again contacted on 7/13/09 to submit an amendment to specification to incorporate "Brief descriptions to drawings" to appear on page 7 of the specification to correct a deficiency noted during processing. The IDS's submitted on 1/3/07 and 1/19/07 have been annotated to add date (year) to listed references.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  

/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

